

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/566,375	WOLF ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KATIE HAMMER	1796	

All Participants:

(1) KATIE HAMMER.

(2) RICHARD TREANOR.

Date of Interview: 7 January 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Briefly the amines taught by Kinoshita et al.

Claims discussed:

5 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

Kinoshita et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Cancellation of amines taught by the prior art reference so that the claims are allowable. Mr. Treanor called his clients abroad and confirmed the cancellation of these amines in a telephone call to the Examiner on January 11, 2010.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/KLH/
Katie L. Hammer
Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)